

1 JASON M. WILEY, ESQ.
2 Nevada Bar No. 009274
3 DANIEL S. CEREGHINO, ESQ.
4 Nevada Bar No. 011534
5 **KOLESAR & LEATHAM**
6 400 South Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
8 Telephone: (702) 362-7800
9 Facsimile: (702) 362-9472
10 E-Mail: jwiley@klnevada.com
11 amaurice@klnevada.com

12 *Attorneys for Nonparty
13 Val de Loire, LLC*

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

KOLESAR & LEATHAM
400 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

NML CAPITAL LTD.,
Plaintiff,
vs.
THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA,
Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01573-LDG-PAL

ERRATA TO NONPARTY VAL DE LOIRE, LLC'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

ERRATA TO NONPARTY VAL DE LOIRE, LLC'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Nonparty Val de Loire, LLC ("VDL"), by and through its counsel of record, the law firm Kolesar & Leatham, hereby files its Errata to Nonparty Val de Loire, LLC's Motion to Quash Subpoena or, in the Alternative, for Protective Order ("Motion").

VDL's Motion, filed on September 24, 2014, inadvertently attached as Exhibit A thereto the subpoena issued by NML to M.F. Corporate Services (Nevada) Limited. Attached hereto is the correct "Subpoena Duces Tecum Ad Testificandum" (the "VDL Subpoena") issued to VDL

1 by NML. VDL respectfully requests this Court consider this VDL Subpoena as Exhibit A in lieu
2 of the one inadvertently provided.¹

3 DATED this 29th day of September, 2014.

4 **KOLESAR & LEATHAM**

5 By /s/ Jason M. Wiley
6 JASON M. WILEY, ESQ.
7 Nevada Bar No. 009274
8 DANIEL S. CEREGHINO, ESQ.
9 Nevada Bar No. 011534
10 400 South Rampart Boulevard
11 Suite 400
12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

13 *Attorneys for Nonparty*
14 *Val de Loire, LLC*

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
KOLESAR & LEATHAM
400 S. Rampart Boulevard, Suite 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Tel: (702) 362-7800 / Fax: (702) 362-9472

28 _____
1 The Court will note, however, that these subpoenas are substantially similar, if not otherwise
identical.